



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,271	10/20/2004	Tatsuya Inokuchi	257211US6X PCT	5018	
22850 7:	590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			JONES, CRYSTAL L		
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
	,		2627	· 	
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1		
		10/511,271	INOKUCHI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Crystal Jones	2627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	5		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this community DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/20	<u>0/2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	•	its is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2004 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	ected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= : :				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-15	52.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	ceived in this National Stag	е		
* (application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list		noivad			
•	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	Jeiveu.			
Attachmer						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) //ail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152))		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, drawn to a recording medium of figures 5-13, classified in Class 369, subclass 275.1.

Group II, claim(s) 7-19, drawn to a recording apparatus/method of figures 1, 3, and 14, classified in Class 369, subclass 59.24.

Group III, claim(s) 20-25, drawn to a reproducing apparatus/method of figures 2, 4, and 15, classified in Class 369, subclass 53.21.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the recording apparatus/method is drawn to a combination of special technical features including recording previously encoded data whereas the reproducing apparatus/method is drawn to the combination of special technical features including reading previously encoded data, decoding read data, error correcting decoded data, decrypting key data, and decrypting read data previously encrypted.
 - 3. Invention Group I drawn to a recording medium has a common special technical

feature to that of each of Groups II and III. Hence, Group I will be examined with either one of Groups II or III that is elected for examination. In the event Group I as currently claimed is allowed, both of Groups II and III will be examined.

4. A telephone call was made to Surinder Sachar on April 6, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 2627

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Crystal Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-2849. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WAYNE YOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED